



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
087591,246	01/18/96	THOMSON	960296-93723

18M1/0128

NICHOLAS J SEAY  
QUARLES AND BRADY  
P O BOX 2113  
MADISON WI 53701-2113

EXAMINER
BRUMERACK, B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1815	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/98

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/591,246

Applicant(s)

Thomson

Examiner

Brenda Brumback

Group Art Unit

1815



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brenda Brumback(3) Nicholas Seay(2) Michael Woodward

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 22, 1998Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: 1-11

Identification of prior art discussed:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The remaining rejection of claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requiring a deposit of a representative cell line was discussed. Submission of a Declaration of James Thomson demonstrating that the procedure taught in the specification has repeatedly yielded cell lines with the characteristics cited in the claims was also discussed as an alternative to the deposit requirement.

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.